Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

Unveiling Colonial Narratives: A Linguistic and Structural Study of the Environmental Exploitation in Ghosh's Smoke and Ashes

Fehmina Nazar

Lecturer English, University of Southern Punjab, Multan

Nadia Akhtar

Head of English, Department Center of Excellence Girls, Muzaffar Garh

Dr. Muhammad Nasir*

Lecturer English, University of Southern Punjab, Multan

ABSTRACT

Imperialism and ecological degradation have been inseparable powers and their effects on the environment and society is an urgent aspect of research. In this regard, Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* provides a strong consideration of how the colonialists (especially in the opium trade) formed and ruined ecosystems. In Ghosh's selected fiction, opium is depicted as two-sided: an instrument of imperialism and a cause of environmental destruction, in which the trade is a stimulus of violence as well as addiction and environmental destruction. The present research study particularly examines the impact of the colonial economic systems to its devastating consequences such as soil erosion, degradation of resources, and economic coercion in the novel. This research through a qualitative approach studies the oppressive colonial systems that were not only imposing military control but also altering the environment by introducing new foods, crops and diseases as explained by the Ecological Imperialism concept introduced by Alfred W. Crosby. With reference to postcolonial theory especially the notions of Edward Said's Orientalism the current research study shedding light to colonization of voices is centered on aspects of exploitation of both natural resources and human labor by the British colonial system. The researchers selected Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* to criticize the enduring effects of imperialism on the environment and the society and is a great reflexive statement on the effects of colonialism.

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

Keywords: Exploitation, Interventionism, Cataclysm, Ecosystem, Infatuation

1. Introduction

The present research study examined larger concerns of generational environmental exploitation and contested regal geographies in Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (2023) through the opium trade and its ecological legacy. The argument, which draws on postcolonial theory, focuses on how Ghosh (2023) examines the colonial opium economy as a profoundly ecological events that is intertwined with environmental degradation, economic expansion, and monoculture. Postcolonial ecocriticism's theoretical framework throws light on how opium-trade-shaped landscapes come to represent resistance, ecological change, and colonial exploitation (Huggan & Tiffin, 2010). The nature and contest space shifts that went with British colonialism in India were profoundly weaved with imperial and financial misuse, taking off a bequest of environment or natural debasement and social change.

From continuous deforestation and biodiversity misfortune to constrained monoculture and opiate agribusiness, the subcontinent's scenes were inevitably altered. Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (2023) positions these changes as central to understanding the environmental costs of empire costs that were borne excessively by the colonized, and which proceed to shape India's environmental challenges nowadays. In Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (2023), investigates the coercive mechanism behind British controlled opium development in colonial India. Consequently, the goal of this study is to examine or explore how ecology and colonialism are linked in Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (2023), especially as it relates to forced opium farming, exploitation of both nature and generations. It seeks to investigate how imperial economic policies functioned through ecological dominance in addition to human oppression or tyranny. Through their examination, the study highlights how the logic of exploitation posed by colonialism also incorporated environmental degradation, loss of labor, and biodiversity as a key component as opposed to incidental outcome.

1.1 Research Objectives

1. To analyze the way in which the language and narrative patterns demonstrate the forceful development of palliative and alters the ecological environment.

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

2. To examine the way of stately economic insatiability constructed linguistically that generates ecological disaster exploitation being perpetuated.

1.2 Significance of Study

The present research study is significant to interpret the linguistic and structural expression of colonial power and environmental annihilation in Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (2023). It demonstrates how the forced labors of opium production restructured ecological terrain in terms of soil erosion, monocrop production, replacement of indigenous crops, and the dispossession of farmers, and these facts were legitimized by the discourse of colonialism. Discovering the concealed pasts of opium business, dependency, brutality, and monopoly, the research demonstrates how the imperial discourses covered up exploitation and environmental disaster under the banner of economic development. The study is also a presupposition of the disappeared voices of colonized peoples that questioned Eurocentric history and revealed how language perpetuated the mechanisms of domination. The contemporary research, connecting narrative strategies to ecological and social damage, adds to literary, postcolonial and environmental studies. Its applicability is current in linking the past oppression to our present day problems like environmental destruction, poverty, substance addiction and socioeconomic imbalance.

1.3 Delimitations of the Research

The present research study is confined to the qualitative, linguistic and structural review of Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (2023). It is concerned with how coercive opium cultivation, ecological degradation, and colonial exploitation are constructed with the help of language, narrative strategies and thematic structures in the text. Theoretically, the research is narrowed down to Crosby's theory of ecological imperialism (1986), as well as the chosen ideas of the Said's Orientalism (1978). References to historical events such as the opium trade and associated conflicts are discussed not as a separate piece of historical or archival writing, but within the context of the Ghosh's selected fiction. Moreover, there is no empirical evidence about the environmental, economic, or policy based data input in the research since it is limited to literary and discourse based analysis.

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

2. Literature Review

Historical debates about colonial expansion are becoming more and more aware of the fact that imperial domination was not confined to political and military domination but also to domination of land, agriculture and natural resources. According to environmental historians, the colonial economies were predominantly dependent on the conversion of the local ecologies into the profit-seeking systems, which, in most cases, led to the ecological destruction in the long-term (Crosby, 2004; Grove, 2019). These kinds of transformations were commonly rationalized using imperial discourse that justified exploitation by calling it development and progress and concealing the environmental and human expenses.

Ecological Imperialism is a theory by Alfred W. Crosby, which forms a conceptual background to explain the process of imperial powers expanding their territory by colonising ecosystem through monoculture agriculture, biological transfers, and reorganisation of pre-existing agricultural systems (Crosby, 1986). Imperial dominance, according to Crosby, was not only accomplished by the military and political means but also by using ecological manipulation, such as the introduction of new crops, plants and diseases that led to instability in local ecologies. The works of scholars who developed upon the work of Crosby have shown that the ecological intervention in such a way led to soil depletion, biodiversity loss, and future agricultural dependence in colonized areas (Davis, 2002; Patel and Moore, 2017). It is specifically in the case of the opium cultivation that this framework can be applied as forced monocropping transformed fertile landscapes into exploitative production spaces.

The issue of colonial exploitation being expressed in terms of narrative and language has become the focus of more and more literary criticism. The Orientalism by Said shows how imperial texts created the East as inferior and exploitable, which justified the economic and environmental domination (Said, 1978). Subsequently, scholars state that these discursive practices influenced not only the political views but also the environmental policies with the focus on extraction over sustainability (Nixon, 2011; Huggan and Tiffin, 2015). Another light shed by Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak in her concept of the subaltern is the silencing of the indigenous voices who

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

were specifically farmers and labourers who were subjected to forced cultivation (Spivak, 1988). Recent analyses use the framework proposed by Spivak to the ecological setting, revealing how ecological suffering is frequently recounted without the focus on the experience of colonized people (DeLoughrey and Handley, 2011; Banerjee, 2020). This point of view is particularly applicable to the study of plantation economies and trade systems that are based on drugs. There has been a strong interdisciplinary interest in the opium trade as an example of coercive capitalism.

According to historians, opium served as a commercial and a political tool that reorganized the world trade systems and created addiction, violence, and ecological harm (Brook and Wakabayashi, 2000; Meyer et al., 2018). Research also shows that the opium crops supplanted subsistence agriculture, resulting in soil erosion and financial reliance (Richards, 2019; Bose, 2021). The works of Amitav Ghosh are often discussed in the context of the interaction with the colonial history, the process of environmental transformation, and global capitalism. These critics observe that Ghosh intertwines historical accounts and narrative accounts to reveal oppressed histories of exploitation (Mukherjee, 2010; Mondal, 2022). Although the majority of research is devoted to fiction written by Ghosh, new works consider Smoke and Ashes as a critical intervention, which presupposes the ecological destruction and the moral economy of empire (Chakraborty, 2023; Nandi, 2024).

New literary studies underline the value of language and structure study in the discovery of the ways in which narrative normalizes exploitation. The proponents think that language contributes significantly to the presentation of monoculture farming, monopoly of the trade, and environmental degeneration as unavoidable or even required consequences of the progress (Fairclough, 2015; LeMenager, 2017). Although the topic of environmental histories and colonial economies has gained recent attention, no fewer studies have explored Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (2023) in the lens of a linguistic and ecological approach. Still, current literature tends to focus on historical realities or material performance, yet they do not focus on how narrative techniques and discourse help to create ecological disasters and generational exploitation. This research study aims to remedy that deficiency by

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

evaluating the role of language, form, and expression in Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (2023) in revealing the converging powers of manipulative cultivation, nature destruction, and greedy imperialism.

2.1 Research Gap

Although existing studies discuss colonial trade, ecological degradation, and the opium economy from historical and environmental perspectives, little attention has been given to the linguistic and structural representation of these processes in Smoke and Ashes. Current erudition largely treats the selected fiction as a historical account, overlooking how language and narrative strategies construct ecological catastrophe and generational exploitation. This study fills that gap by analyzing how coercive cultivation and environmental destruction are shaped through discourse and narrative form in Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (2023).

3. Research Methodology

This research examines and critiques Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (2023) through a qualitative approach of textual analysis. According to Creswell (2013), a qualitative design enables the researchers to delve deeply through textual materials to uncover concealed meanings related to the study problem. This primary text has been closely examined to identify and analyze key themes and discourses surrounding ecology and imperialism, the catastrophe of the environment, utilization of resources, monopoly of the British empire, nature contested colonial spaces through the prism of postcolonial Eco critical theories. Crosby's concept of ecological imperialism (1986) shows how European countries changed the nature of the places they conquered by growing only one type of crop. The impact of addiction in China portrays critiques of post-colonial theory. Post-colonial scholars, such as Said's notions (1978) throw light on the power dynamic between the colonizers and colonized, and emphasizing how the British exploited natural resources and extended their power.

The current study used postcolonial ecocriticism to examine how imperial aspirations in colonial territories were intertwined with environmental control and ecological deterioration. The analysis, which was based on Alfred W. Crosby's theory of ecological imperialism (1986), showed how the British Empire marginalized indigenous ecologies and lifeways while manipulating ecosystems

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

through land appropriation, botanical transfers, and monoculture practices to support capitalist expansion (Crosby 1986). In a similar vein, Scholars like Richard Grove have highlighted how colonial environmental policies altered tropical ecologies to suit imperial interests (Grove 1995). The study also looked at how colonial powers framed the non-European world as passive, exotic, and exploitable; a rhetorical device that justified environmental dominance as a component of the civilizing mission informed by Edward Said's idea of imaginative geographies (Said 1978). Such depictions, according to academics like Elizabeth DeLoughrey and George B. Handley, diminished colonial landscapes to immobile settings for imperial endeavor (DeLoughrey and Handley 2011). Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (2023) uses a postcolonial Eco critical lens to interpret and code this data, articulating nature as a site of resistance, struggle, and transformation during colonial authority or monopoly.

4. Textual Analysis

The methodical process of arranging, scrutinizing, and analyzing data to derive significant insights, identify trends, and respond to research inquiries is known as data analysis. Finding recurrent themes like generational exploitation, ecological transformation, and consequences of imperial greed requires a qualitative method in literary research, particularly in a postcolonial study like Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (2023). The analysis represents how imperial greed affected natural environmental systems and human life through attentive reading and thematic or ideological interpretation. The researchers examine Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* major ecological themes and connects them to ecological and postcolonial theoretical framework.

> *Advances are made [wrote an early nineteenth century observer] by the govt. through its native servants, and if a ryot [farmer] refuses the advances, the simple plan of throwing the rupees into his house is adopted; should he attempt to abscond, the peons seize him, tie the advance up in his clothes, and push him into his house. The business being now settled, and there being no remedy, he applies himself, as he or to may, to the fulfilment of his contract.* (Ghosh, 2023, p. 52)

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

Analysis

This compulsion had extensive ecological consequences. Because opium was a demanding crop that used a lot of water and fertilizers, opium reduced the land's fertility and environmental resilience. Native farmers, referred to as ryots, were compelled to accept cash advances as trapped. An eye-opening account states that 'If a ryot refuses the advances, the simple plan of throwing the rupees into his house is adopted. The peons then seize him, tie the advance money in his clothes, and push him into his house.'This disturbing portrayal of state-sponsored intimidation illustrates how the autonomy of peasants was stripped away through physical coercion, enforcing economic dependence. However, it is the even less evident form of ecological violence, and this social violence is concealed by it. Profitability pressure imposed by the state was one of the factors that were used to destroy the native ecosystems. Furthermore, the immediate government system which forced the farmers to pay money to something ensured the continuation of the process and the enslavement of the peasants' season after season to the production of poppy. It is a phrase of this kind that makes a fine description of how the British imperialist policy militarized the agriculture in the place of trade. It made India one giant ecological monoculture that was geared towards serving colonial markets as opposed to domestic requirements. In addition to being social, the violence also had important ecological effects that transformed the nature of the land use, generating as well as sustaining the use of the land across multiple generations.

> *The farmers'contracts stipulated that they were to transport their harvest, in its entirety and at their own cost, to an official collection centre, where, after weighing and quality control, they were, in principle, meant to be paid the price set by the Opium Department.* (Ghosh, 2023, p. 53)

Analysis

This colonial contraption of opium production that even strengthened the oppression of Indian cultivators and at the same time contributed to the ecological transformation of the landscape is represented in this paragraph as having an even deeper repression within it. The British colonial administration was introduced into a rigid, top-down system that afforded the farmers little room as it was contractually

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

obliged to not only produce opium but also to bear the social, economic and logistical cost to deliver their entire harvest to designated collection points primarily one is Ghazipur and the other in Patna. This was a perfect demonstration of how economic exploitation was made to appear as authorized practice, which reinforced a system that continued to enslave rural farmers in their cycles of surveillance and debt of subservience and dependence. Notably, since farmers were unable to bear the risk of substituting the type of crops with cash crops that could not be supplemented or purchased by the institutions, such forced logistical requirements prevented commodities in agriculture to diversify due to the ecological risks associated with this type of agriculture An illustration of ecological Imperialism by Crosby is the British opium system, which compelled Indian farmers to commit to ecologically unfriendly types of agriculture due to the monoculture. This exploitation emphasizes the way in which imperial nations exploited the resources of the indigenous peoples, both their labour and land, under the administrative ruse, which demonstrates the devastating effect on the local self-determination and natural environment of both postcolonial approach and extractivism. These have far-reaching ecological implications and possible effects since it has led to mono-culture of one narcotic crop on the expansive erosion soil and its fertility, which was previously the biodiverse soil. This monestiral imposition as well as the resulting reduced ecological resilience altered the local hydrological cycles, weakened fertility, and replaced subsistence farming and food production. In addition to removing economic value by forcing farmers not to adhere to these exploitative terms, the British also created a long-lasting and systematic alteration of the agricultural ecosystem, reshaping it to support the imperial tyranny and agenda of narcotic capitalism at the expense of social and environmental sustainability.

The system was, therefore, coercive to its core: not only did farmers have to deal with the ever-looming threat of violence, but they also had no choice other than to plant poppies because the Opium Department stipulated that nothing else could be grown on land that had been earmarked for that purpose. (Ghosh, 2023, p.54)

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

Analysis

Ghosh exposes the destructive ecological effects of colonial coercion in *Smoke and Ashes*, claiming that the system was, therefore, coercive to its core. The ecological element is established in this system of coercion since the Opium Department not only required land, water, infrastructure, security, labor and allegiance, but it also regulated the actual use of land, requiring that poppies only be grown on plots intended for the production of opium. The requirement that nothing else could be grown on land that had been earmarked for that purpose suggests that agricultural biodiversity was purposefully manipulated and destroyed. The colonial administration fundamentally altered traditional cropping patterns by restricting the variety of food crops that could be grown. This change compromised agro ecological balance, water resources, livelihood, economic stability, soil fertility, and local food security. Such ecological reconfiguration served imperial interests or domination and was not merely coincidental. Regardless of local agricultural practices or the needs of farmers, the Indian landscape was forcibly transformed or reshaped into a monoculture of opium farms during colonial rule. Fields that previously produced a diverse array of vegetables, grains, wheat, rice, cereals, and pulses were now compelled to grow poppies. This shift disrupted crop rotation, depleted soil nutrients, and led to environmental degradation over time. This text is a prime example of Crosby's theory of ecological imperialism, according to which colonial forces altered indigenous ecologies and economy to support imperial trade, in this case by imposing a poppy monoculture. By using land domination and systematic brutality or tyranny as weapons, imperial authority deprived indigenous people of their legacy and agency, as seen through a postcolonial lens. This compulsion is revealed by Ghosh as both ecological dominance and sociopolitical oppression. This illustrates how institutional violence, imperial greed and bureaucratic control were used to create ecological change, transforming coercion into an environmental force or catastrophe that altered entire rural ecosystems for imperial profit, while also being a social reality. Under threat of violence, pressure and money advances farmers were deprived of their agricultural autonomy and made to plant poppies.

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

In the production of opium, as with many other botanical goods, the colonial preference was always for standardized monocultures, so the poppies grown on the Opium Department's territories were uniformly of the same colour: white.(Ghosh, 2023 p. 87)

Analysis

An important ecological feature of imperialism is the deterioration of nature to measurable, extractable value, which is reflected in the focus on this one, high-yielding plant species. Diverse crops, native plant species, and ecologically balanced rotations were considered less desirable or inappropriate to the objectives of profit maximization. Because of this, the land was redesigned to accommodate imperial objectives, depleting the soil of nutrients via repetitive poppy cultivation and reducing the space available for ecological recovery or regeneration. For political and symbolic control, British colonial rulers enforced a monoculture of white poppies, substituting a standardized, drug-focused environment for a variety of adaptable food crops. This forced change damaged biodiversity, water resources, livelihood, ecological balance and degraded soil, and made areas more susceptible to starvation. Despite being lucrative for the empire, these fields degraded pollinators, local food chains, and farming autonomy, turning into natural dead zones. As they traveled towards Benares, Ghosh's great-grandfather frequently saw long fields bloomed with white opium flowers dancing in the wind. These flowers had pale blooms that were the most valuable, known for extracting the best and most profitable resin. To the British and their workers, the white color showed something better, but for the people who grew the plants, it symbolized for being forced and hard core work. This type of uniformity in flower blooming represented monopoly on the indigenous people. Local biodiversity, ecology and farming autonomy were eradicated by the colonial imposition of uniform white poppies, which reflected agricultural and aesthetic dominance. According to Crosby, imperial capitalist exploitation benefited from the conversion of ecosystems into monocultures. In inference, colonial India's biological landscape was drastically altered by the imperial obsession with white flowered opium poppies as a monoculture cash crop. It is a powerful illustration of ecological imperialism, in which foreign dominance penetrated the very fabric of the natural world, going beyond politics and the economy. A striking metaphor for the

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

environmental cost of both nature's plenty and a people's autonomy is the visual homogeneity of white blossoms spreading across the plains. Empire monoculture is imposed at the expense of people's sovereignty and the richness of nature.

According to Crosby's theory of ecological imperialism (1986), this quick growth results from colonial powers reorganizing ecosystems to put exportable drugs ahead of local sustenance. According to postcolonial theory, this is environmental dominance, whereby imperial goals destroyed native food systems and increased reliance on rural areas. Under imperial pressure, ecological autonomy is erased when paddy fields are turned into poppies. Fertile agrarian areas were transformed into poppy-producing areas by colonialism, which also destroyed soil, reduced crop diversity, and depleted water supplies.

> *That many of the key innovations came from an armaments industry that had been supercharged by British colonial wars, and that much of the capital for industrialization was extracted by means of slave labour, and the drug trade, were relegated to irrelevance, simply because they did not fit the narrative of progress.* (Ghosh, 2023, p .45).

Analysis

Amitav Ghosh's sharp criticism of the prevailing historical narrative, which exalts industrial progress while hiding the exploitative and environmentally damaging roots of empire, is shown in this excerpt from Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (2023). Ecological destruction was not a byproduct but rather a structural element of imperial ambition, as demonstrated by the fact that important technological advancements came from the arms industry, a sector supported by Ghosh's constant British colonial conflicts. To demonstrate how economic expansion in the West was closely linked to systemic brutality and environmental degradation in the colonies, the capital produced through slave labor and the drug trade particularly opium is mentioned. This claim reveals how the darker underpinnings of industrial progress are overlooked in prevailing historical narratives. It criticizes the erasing of colonial exploitation and violence, which served as the foundation for Britain's industrial and technological advancement. According to Crosby's ecological imperialism lens, colonial warfare, slavery, and drug use drove ecological looting, which was

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

concealed by industrial development. The cruel underpinnings of modernity in ecological and human misery were silenced as the empire erased exploitation and brutality to exalt Western progress, according to postcolonial philosophy.

This, in turn, sparked off a race between western and eastern India, with the Opium Department ramping up production in its own territories in the Gangetic plain. (Ghosh, 2023, p. 97).

Analysis

This section exposes the British imperial regime's aggressive expansionist intentions, which were motivated by an unquenchable need for opium profits. The expression sparked off a race ‖ refers to the growing regional rivalry that resulted in an unsustainable increase in poppy production, particularly in the Gangetic plain. This race had serious ecological consequences in addition to economic ones. Through ramping up production, the colonial Opium Department drastically altered the local environment. Large areas of cropland with abundant biodiversity were forcibly transformed into monoculture poppy crops. This in turn disrupted existing patterns of agriculture, decreased the soil fertility and increased water consumption in environmental sensitive regions. The Gangetic plain, which used to be admired in terms of its agricultural richness and fertility is currently the site of extractive exploitation. Also, the term implies ecological management by the state, where imperialistic ambitions were based on monetary profits rather than ecological balance. As a result, this culminated into permanent ecological degradation and destruction, which was clearly due to colonial interest and entailed soil erosion and exhaustions, loss of domestic vegetation like food crops and exposure to exile, drought and famine. This short passage is therefore a main aspect of ecological imperialism, which is how imperial policies and economic rivalry cruelly transformed natural ecosystems to the imperial advantage and power. Also, the term implies ecological management by the state, where imperialistic ambitions were based on monetary profits rather than ecological balance. Ultimately, this caused the long-term environmental degradation, which was rather obviously brought about by the colonial ambition and also involved soil depletion, Disappearance of local vegetation and susceptibility to drought and famine.

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

According to Crosby ecological imperialism perspective (1986), this shows how colonialists utilized local ecologies to enhance monoculture in order to gain money. Postcolonial theory exposes the latent economic competition and pressure that are imposed by the empire that exacerbates exploitations in various regions. Together, they reveal how, beyond the façade of administrative rivalry, imperial greed reshaped livelihoods and landscapes. The statement emphasizes how opium cultivation aggressively expanded over the Gangetic plain, particularly under the Opium Department, driven by British imperial aspirations. This was a colonial tactic to control the opium trade, putting financial gain ahead of ecological harmony, rather than a healthy economic rivalry. Traditional farming methods were disrupted when large tracts of arable land were forcefully transformed into monoculture poppy crops. Reduced biodiversity, decreased soil fertility, and increasing strain on water supplies were the results of this change. Colonial practices directly contributed to the environmental devastation that followed. In the end, this illustrates how imperial avarice harmed colonial India's ecology over time.

> *The very fact that a substance of great value was being produced at a loss by increasingly impoverished farmers was a guarantee of lawlessness.* (Ghosh, 2023, p .77)

Analysis

The very fact that a substance of great value was being produced at a loss by increasingly impoverished farmers was a guarantee of lawlessness, as the statement puts it, highlighting the paradox at the core of imperial economies: the profitability of empires was maintained not through sustainable or moral production but rather through the forced extraction of labor and resources, frequently under deteriorating ecological and social conditions. Despite its enormous value in international trade, opium production was forced onto rural Indian villages in ways that progressively deprived them of their independence, financial stability, and sense of imperial balance. As farmers faced food scarcity, rising debt, and deteriorating soil fertility as they were forced often under forceful contracts to cultivate poppies instead of food crops. In addition to making farmers poor, this imbalance caused whole rural ecosystems to become unstable. An agrarian economy that was more susceptible to

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

instability and collapse resulted from the ensuing deterioration. Here, lawlessness refers to more than just criminal activity; rather, it is a sign of a more serious illness: a colonial system that put the manufacture of commodities for imperial markets ahead of local well-being and environmental sustainability. This phenomenon demonstrates how colonial powers brought not only illnesses and crops but also whole economic models that reorganized ecologies to suit imperial greed, according to Alfred Crosby's theory of ecological imperialism. Monoculture methods used to grow opium, an exotic cash crop, drained water tables, reduced biodiversity, and exhausted the land effects that Crosby would consider to be a component of the ecological conquest that accompanied territorial dominion. The deeper violence ingrained in these structures is also shown by postcolonial theory: indigenous farmers were denied agency over their labor and land and were forced into exploitative contracts. The colonial logic of accumulation through dispossession is exposed when a valuable harvest results in loss for the producers rather than profit. Under the guise of economic progress, this imperial avarice caused social disintegration and ecological disaster. Crosby and postcolonial academics both emphasize that the British opium industry was a mechanism of ecological exploitation, structural oppression, and generational trauma among the colonized, in addition to being an economic endeavor.

> *This indignity was visited most often on the hundreds of young boys in the workforce: this was intended to prevent them from pinching opium by rubbing it on their bodies and then getting washed down at the bazaar.* (Ghosh, 2023, p .62)

Analysis

This indignity was most frequently inflicted on the hundreds of young boys. This clearly reveals the generational exploitation that was ingrained in the opium industry throughout the British Empire. The workers were supposed to be prevented from pinching opium by rubbing it on their bodies and then getting washed off at the bazaar. This sentence, which reflects a larger system of control, mistrust, and degradation, alludes to the degrading physical checks and monitoring methods that are specifically applied to youthful workers. The fact that children

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

were subjected to such severe measures highlights how the opium regime exploitation was not just economic but also severely humiliating. These guys were engaged in a generational cycle of coercion and reliance, and many of them came from underprivileged and marginalized neighborhoods. Opportunity was not brought to the Children but rather humiliation and being watched around; more often than not their families were not able to choose but rather to grow up in the imperial establishments like the opium factory in Ghazipur. A docile and obedient workforce comprised of children was needed to manipulate local ecosystems to produce opium monoculture. This system was especially certain to provide colonial gain at the cost of body integrity and self-respect, especially to the most vulnerable. It is possible to interpret this child labor exploitation as part of a bigger system where the imperial states restructured human and environmental ecologies towards extractive goals as proposed by Alfred W. Crosby in his concept of ecological imperialism. This implicates the idea of exploitation to be embedded in the structure of the society in the form of colony restructuring of land and generation cycles. This case can be viewed as an instance of how imperialism operated not only through economic domination but as an entity that took over bodies, knowledge systems, and futures, in accordance with the postcolonial theoretical perspectives as advanced by scholars like Edward Said and Gayatri Spivak, Spivak introduces her notion of the subaltern, voiceless, and powerless individuals who live on the margins of the empire and are humiliated to safeguard the interests of the colonialists: An empire in which the wealth of individuals depends on the oppression of several generations and remaining silent is solidified when the popular discourse eliminates the suffering they experience.

5. Findings

The colonial opium industry's systemic coercion, which exploited both rural livelihoods and India's biological landscape, is exposed by Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (2023) Opium cultivation was by no means a choice economic endeavor. A grower of the nineteenth century has also said that farmers who rejected advances were also met by rough methods; rupees were forced into their houses, farmer lost their liberty and any resistance was crushed by physical force. It is on this ritual coercion that the

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

foundations of a greater imperial plan were laid; on this form of ceremonial enslavement what appeared to be an agricultural economy of contract was in reality a highly disciplined and forceful ecological, social and economic order. Wormer points out that to legitimize these coercive techniques and integrate them into the colonial state's regular operations, bureaucratic processes were aggressively used. Such extensive monocultures had enormous ecological consequences famine, poverty addiction and other social issues. According to Ghosh (2023), the change was so widespread that whole regions between Haripur and Benares were blanketed with snowdrifts of white flowers, which is a graphic representation of the widespread conversion of nutrient-rich, varied rice and wheat fields into homogeneous poppy patches. Cultivation expanded by 20,500 hectares in a single year, bringing the total to almost 303,000 hectares. Rice, wheat and other staple crops were ecologically displaced as a result of this development, which led to environmental damage, soil erosion or fatigue, biodiversity loss, and a damaged framework for food and financial security. The huge amount of human labor used for this process is arguably the most existing sign of imperial supremacy and monopoly. The labor of over a million peasant households, or an estimated 5-7 million individuals, was needed to cultivate about half a million acres. More than half of the population in areas like Bihar was dependent on this wintertime cycle of agriculture, tying legacy, nature, economy, and coercion together into a system of colonial exploitation. Crosby's idea of ecology and imperialism (1986) reveals how colonial powers transformed ecological landscapes into a catastrophe of the environment and reshaped them as monocultures for profit. In the first objective, due to the coercive cultivation of opium to reshape the ecological landscape in the Indian region, other food crops are replaced with the cash crop opium. Edward Said's critique of imperial domination reveals how this ecological violence was bound to cultural tyranny and brutality. Poppies were planted in areas where essential food crops used to cultivate food threatening food security and causing environmental degradation. The destruction of biodiversity and massive erosions of the soil were because of the irreversible damage to the soil due to exploitation and loss of nutrients caused by the insatiable practice of monoculture. Additionally, this economic strategy promoted social

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

misery like debts. The institutional exploitation which had facilitated the imperial trade was presented before us when farmers that grew a high-value export crop were pushed into even deeper poverty ironically. Instead of improving the situation, the state responded through repression and tyranny: a network of spies and informers was enforced and criminalized a large part of the populace, traders and peasants alike, establishing an atmosphere of suspicion and banditry. Ghosh argues that the colonial methods of control and monopoly created psychological trauma and sociological wounds that persist in the postcolonial present, linking these historical and ecological changes to a persistent decline in social trust. The opium industry's infrastructure was affected by the poor ecological conditions such as improper drainage, extreme weather and air pollution. The opium factory, founded in 1820 and was a huge structure that represented the union of contemporary industrial methods with disregard for the environment. In addition to polluting the nearby ecosystems, the effluents released from its drains had an observable negative impact on species. Fish in the nearby river became so sluggish that fishermen found them easier to catch, while monkeys that drank from the contaminated water react sometimes abnormally and quiet. As a gloomy parody of imperial order an ecosystem tamed and intoxicated by empire's garbage this hideous transformation of the animal kingdom serves to highlight the harmful effects of industrial waste. These reticulate tragedies demonstrate that colonialism's legacy is not just political and economic but also profoundly ecological, with its effects still felt in today's social and environmental challenges. Crosby's ecology and imperialism (1986) explains how imperial greed sparked ecological catastrophes through extractive monocultures because the consequences of imperial greed damaged water resources, livelihoods, ecological balance, soil erosion, and economic stability. Edward Said's (1978) point of view on postcolonial theory uncovers this exploitation as a structure of domination, monopoly, and power masked as progress. In Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (2023), reveals how opium cultivation degraded soil, water, and biodiversity. The ecological catastrophe stands as testimony to the destructive unbearable hunger of Imperial expansion. It shows how this system started in India and spread to China and parts of Southeast Asia, causing fear and harm across different groups

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

of individuals. Ghosh cites detailed stories from history and personal experiences to explain how powerful countries set up a system of imperialism that turned suffering, fear and harm into a business for profit. People who used the drug were targeted easily and made weak and hopeless that they couldn't fight back, take back lands, or not even imagine starting a rebellion against the system. It was not only the body which suffered but also a deep psychic wound a kind of psychological trauma, disengaging people, and subjecting them to no more care of father or mother, wife or child and placing the entire generation in unimaginable distress. In Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (2023) reveals how in China the addiction became the fundamental type of this exploitation where the whole indigenous community became dependent on it.

5.1 Conclusion

In Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (20223) is based on genuine and well- researched events. Ghosh, the author, brings to the limelight, forgotten circumstances of the past. Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (20223) illustrates how opium use in Asia by the British Empire was not only about finances but financial transformation of the environment condition and the society on a grand scale. Farmers were made to grow only poppies, which made the soil erosion or soil poor and led to problems like poverty, drug addiction, hunger, drought, and economic struggle. This also shows how the environment changed, with fewer plant and animal species struggling for survival, and how the local economy became weak and easily controlled. The greed of the British for tea, silver, and power led to the large- scale use of Indian land to produce opium for China and profit for Britain. The ecological ramifications extended beyond agriculture, as Ghosh demonstrates in the book. To support the infrastructure for the cultivation, transportation and storage of opium, land, rivers, forests, and village life were all altered. Long after the British left, entire communities continued to live in poverty and starvation Those who lost their cultivated land, their means of subsistence, and their connection to sustainable farming practices are among them hopelessly. According to *Smoke and Ashes*, the opium industry was not just a business venture but also an ecological management effort in which empire and environment were linked together. Due to rampant opium addiction, China boycotted the British-imposed opium trade. Following it, a series of wars sprang out (1839–1842, 1856–

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 4, Issue 1 (2026)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

1860). Military might have accompanied the rights enjoyed by the Dutch and the East India Company. Opium caused exploitation, addiction, smuggling, violence, soil erosion, famine and ecological imbalance, which in turn created a cycle of generational exploitation.

5.2 Recommendations for Future Research

Future studies can also explore the obscured pasts of colonial trading and monopolies that not only transformed indigenous farming but also compelled locals to work into exploitative forms of production that made the imperial rich at the expense of lives and the environment. The article urges scholars to consider the ways in which Ghosh's *Smoke and Ashes* (2023) integrates historical writing with ecological criticism to expose prevailing colonial discourses and upset traditional ways of telling imperial histories. The connections between the colonial opium economy and migration trends can also be investigated further, as the forced cultivators demonstrate how the coercive logic of capitalism is both enforced and Marxist views can demonstrate how surplus value is generated by forced cultivation and exploitation of labour around the globe. The involvement of the non-Western conceptions of nature and vegetation in the themes of domination and control in postcolonial literature might be studied comparatively. Also, the next line of investigation can be policy based interventions to maintain the indigenous agricultural knowledge and practice within the areas of monoculture farming.

References

Banerjee, U. (2020). *Environment, displacement, and subaltern voices*. Routledge.

Bose, S. (2021). Colonial agriculture and ecological transformation in South Asia. *Journal of Global History, 16*(2), 245–262.

Brook, T., & Wakabayashi, B. T. (2000). *Opium regimes: China, Britain, and Japan, 1839–1952*. University of California Press.

Chakraborty, A. (2023). Amitav Ghosh and the ethics of historical recovery. *Postcolonial Text, 18*(1), 1–15.

Crosby, A. W. (1986). *Ecological imperialism: The biological expansion of Europe, 900–1900*. Cambridge University Press.

Davis, M. (2002). *Late Victorian Holocausts: El Niño famines and the making of the Third*

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

World. Verso.

DeLoughrey, E., & Handley, G. B. (2011). *Postcolonial ecologies*. Oxford University Press.

Fairclough, N. (2015). *Language and power* (3rd ed.). Routledge.

Ghosh, A. (2023). *Smoke and ashes: Opium's hidden histories*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Grove, R. (2019). Green imperialism revisited. *Environmental History*, *24*(1), 1–20.

Heise, U. K. (2016). *Imagining extinction*. University of Chicago Press.

Huggan, G., & Tiffin, H. (2015). *Postcolonial ecocriticism* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

LeMenager, S. (2017). Climate change and narrative form. *Modern Fiction Studies*, *63*(3), 479–503.

Meyer, K., Parssinen, T., & Karp, J. (2018). *Web of opium*. Rowman & Littlefield.

Mondal, A. (2022). Empire, memory, and narrative in Amitav Ghosh. *Journal of Commonwealth Literature*, *57*(4), 567–583.

Mukherjee, U. P. (2010). *Postcolonial environments*. Palgrave Macmillan.

Nandi, S. (2024). Ecology, empire, and commodity histories in *Smoke and Ashes*. *South Asian Review*, *45*(1), 33–47.

Nixon, R. (2011). *Slow violence and the environmentalism of the poor*. Harvard University Press.

Patel, R., & Moore, J. W. (2017). *A history of the world in seven cheap things*. University of California Press.

Richards, J. F. (2019). Colonialism and environmental change. *Global Environmental Change*, *58*, 101947.

Said, E. W. (1978). *Orientalism*. Pantheon Books.

Spivak, G. C. (1988). Can the subaltern speak? In C. Nelson & L. Grossberg (Eds.), *Marxism and the interpretation of culture* (pp. 271–313). University of Illinois Press.