Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 11 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

Bridging the Divide: A Collaborative Action Research project for Applied Linguists and Language Practitioners to close the Research-Practice Gap

Muhammad Zeeshan*
Head of English Department PGC, Sialkot.
Email: prof.zeeshan@gmail.com

Zainab Waheed
BS English Scholar, Govt. College University Faisalabad

Asifa Javed
BS English Scholar, Govt. College University Faisalabad

ABSTRACT

This research paper examines the constant divide between research and exercise in applied linguistics and analyzes collaborative action research (CAR) as a potential solution for bridging this gap. Taking insights from literature on applied linguistics, professional development for teachers and the project discovers challenges. As similar as unaligned goals it also reflects on collaborative inquiry, structural limitations and lack of usability. A collective effort including applied linguists and language professionals is explored as a research study. The data reveal that (CAR) promotes mutual understanding, creates contextually connected understanding, and improve professional standing. Meanwhile obstacles linked to time-spread design persist significant and power relations. The paper points the results for applied linguistics as an area of study, for experts as skilled workers, and for upcoming investigation avenues. The article sums up that connecting the analysis and implementation divide involves a transfer from framework of knowledge to a collaborative design, location CAR as a helpful technique to an extra incorporated and jointly receptive self-control.

Keywords: Collaborative Action Research, Applied Linguistics, Language Practitioners, Research-Practice Gap.

Introduction

Background of the study

Applied linguistics has been considered as the central point between the ideas and application. It is an area known for considering real life issues of expression, covering from second language acquisition (SLA) and communication instruction to linguistics versatility, speech guideline and financial examination. However, regardless of its utilized direction the sector persists to tackle with what has been called the investigation-application difference. This difference relates to the gap between the

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 11 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

generation of intellectual understanding and its practice in lecture halls and expert setting (Borg 2010, 391). Instructors often understand as investigation remote exclusive requirements, or unrelated to their prompt requirements, during analysis regularly perspective experts as resistant new insight (Nassaji 2012, 340).

This separation has important effects. For professionals, convention it can result in dependence on perception or structural orders instead of proof established exercise (Ellis 2010, 103). For investigators, the shortage of intake cuts the field based effect of their effort and maintains a round where intellectual outcome spreads within theoretical rings but barely impact day by day instruction (Widdowson 2001, 7). Connecting this gap is consequently vital to securing that applied linguistics achieves its order of developing linguistics learning and exercise.

Statement of the problem

The perseverance of the study exercise break is not only the effect of needy conversation. Replace with, it displays intellectual, architectural and traditional shares among educational and professional groups. Study is normally released in critically evaluated publications unavailable to several instructors payable to price or detail. Furthermore, study usually follows conceptual abstraction, as professionals favor nearby and applicable outcomes (Leung 2009, 258).

A furthermore problem lies in the disparity of control and statement. Scholars are often placed as “creators of understanding,” as experts are viewed as “client” or “operators.” This classified defining underestimates professional skill and stops real conversation (Breen 2001, 154). Without systematic methods for collective, the scientific inquiry divides threats growing extra.

Research Objectives and Questions

This project analyzes how cooperative action research (AR) can be utilized to connects the investigative work difference. AR, with its focus on repetitive stages of preparation activity watching and analysis (Lewin 1946), provides a collaborative structure where applied linguists and experts and jointly create information.

The research directed by the subsequent question:

What are the main elements affecting to the perseverance of the scientific inquiry difference in applied linguistics?

How can performance analysis offer as a collective outline for linking this difference?

What revelations appear during applied linguists and professionals involve same collaborators in research?

Significance of the Study

This project is important for many factors. First, it emphasizes the importance of rethinking learning creation in applied linguistics as a collective attempt, where professionals are not receptive clients but involved participations. Second, it shows the capacity of step study not simply as a resource for regional learning space progress but as a bond linking concept and application. Finally, the research adds to larger arguments in instructional study concerning the empowerment of information and the function of professionals in molding theoretical conversation.

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 11 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

Theoretical Framework

Defining the Research __Practice Gap in Applied Linguistics

The term investigation divides mentions to the noticed separation between academic research and practitioner use. Within applied linguistics, this difference shows largest clearly in speech learning, where educators usually record narrow commitment with study results (Borg 2010, 391). The factors are this gap is complex. On the one offer, the usability challenge remains: study is usually printed in periodicals paid contents, recorded in expert speech, and structured about abstract arguments that may not reflect with learning space facts (Kumaravadivelu 2012, 9). On the other provide, there is a significance issue where educators discover that study plans do not deal with the critical problems they face such as learner incentive official obstacles, or course orders (Nassaji 2012, 340).

This separation has long been accepted in the area. Widdowson (2001) notably respected between "educational discussion" and "intellectual discussion," notice opposed to the risks of expecting a smooth movement of information from analysts to experts. For Widdowson, study outcome are not methods for exercise but supports that involve intervention and situational revision. Yet this variation is usually confused in the divided opinion of inquiry as only clearly useful or unrelated.

In exercise, the difference weakens two groups. Educators may perceive isolated from the area of applied linguistics, seeing it as superior or out of contact. Scholars, in change danger making information with limited social effect shared to isolated intellectual groups (Leung 2009, 258). This contradiction is significantly dramatic since that applied linguistics is an area directed facing relevant critical thinking.

The Role of Applied Linguistics: Theory vs. Practice

Applied linguistics has regularly been described by its double focus: it attracts upon semantic frameworks to tackle actual issues, during also providing to abstract creativity. However, this paradox usually makes conflict.

One group claims for an implementation example, where linguistic models should inform education approaches and speech rules (Ellis 2010, 1030). For example, study in second language acquisition (SLA) has instructed interactive speech education and experiential learning. Yet objectors claim that such centralized methods danger generalization. Scholar's practical in defined traditional and structural settings may reveals that abstractly valid rules are unrealistic below specific factors.

An unconventional viewpoint is the empirical research method, where professional understandings and skilled update model formulation. The methods worth the implicit information educators grow using daily exercise, considering them not as clients of study but as collaborators of information (Breen 2001, 154). Such as viewpoints fits with the evolving focus on expert inquiry in speech learning.

Finally, the gap shows profound theoretical issues about the kind of information in applied linguistics. Must the area prefer universal information that overcome situations, or placed insight based in specific teaching space? A collective structure perhaps is the exclusive enduring outcome, as it enables both viewpoints to brief different.

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 11 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

Action Research as a Bridging Framework

Action research (AR) gives a hopeful pattern for linking the investigation exercise divide. Starting in the object of Kurt Lewin (1946), AR is clarified as a cooperative and circular system of strategy performing noticing and thinking. It is notable by two important qualities:

Collaboration: AR includes scholars and experts operating as same collaborators. Instead than forcing ideas on lecture halls, it produces area for mutual creation of outcomes (Burns 2010, 2).

Contextualization: AR is based in specific settings, with results that are clearly applicable to subjects while yet providing to wider conceptual disputes (Kemmis and Mc Taggart 2005, 563).

In applied linguistics, AR has been broadly supported as an expert progress device. Burns (2010) claims that AR authorizes scholars by situating them as experts in their specific study area, promoting thoughtful application and validated resolution At the meanwhile, in creates facts and observations that can improve intellectual discussion. This binary purpose forms AR specially adapted to managing the gap connecting applied linguists and experts.

Such as, in English as a Foreign Language (EFL) situation, AR could include educators and applied linguists together exploring the impact of practical study in big training rooms. While scholars provide practical information about official barriers and classmate forces, applied linguists present systematic accuracy and knowledge with SLA idea. The following results are not just usefully helpful but also abstractly important, providing observations into how practical rules can be modified for limited resources situations.

Conceptual Model of Collaboration

Depicting from the over, this project accepts a collective operation study pattern where applied linguists and professionals involve in repetitive stages of research. The example depends on three rules:

Equality of Voices__ The two applied linguists and experts are identified as professionals, with additional information principles.

Mutual Benefit__ The study method must create effects that are two scholarly useful and expertly helpful.

Iterative Dialogue__ Information is mutual creation through constant stages of conversation, analysis and change.

This structure rethinks the approach divide not as an issue of conversion (where investigators convert idea into exercise), but as an issue of interplay (where scholars and professionals must connect to produce mixture of information).

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 11 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

Literature Review

Accessibility of Research Findings

One of the main barriers in linking the inquiry method divide is the usability of study. Theoretical study in applied linguistics is usually printed in significant reviews that are limited access, controlling right for scholars and professionals active external of education (Borg 2010, 393). Moreover when right is provided, the language intricacy of academically prose can produce obstacles. Study papers often to be composed for theoretical associates, filled with scientific terminology and abstract structures, which may be isolating to experts direct on urgent educational setting considerations (Rea-Dickings 1994, 305).

This issue is not special to applied linguistics but is reflected over informative study. Farrell (2015) claims that scholars may not involve with study not because of unconcern, but because of systematic obstacles that stop them from finding, insight, or using the results. As a outcome, instructors usually depend on expert progress classes or teacher education guides that outline study, however these supporting resources may reduce or understate the primary results (Kumaravadivelu 2012, 11).

Online systems and free access producing have started to relieve certain of these obstacles. Projects like TESOL journals experts study reports try to convert intellectual results into usable outlines. But these continue neglected, and several professionals stay isolated from main study resources.

Relevance of Research to Practice

Exceeding usability, connection is another main problem. Experts commonly analysis studies as tackling topics that do not match with the problems they deal with. For example second language acquisition (SLA) research may concentrate on intellectual methods fundamental speech education, instructors may highlight problem similar as study area control, program rate or learner stress (Nassaji 2012, 345).

Johnson (2009) highlights the significance of green credibility, asserting that revelations produced in managed trial situations may deficit utility in the chaotic conditions of study areas. Also Allwright (2003) prioritizes a conflict among applicable information__ the kind of expertise usually respected in intellectual__ and regional information, which professionals discover most helpful. Instructors are not certainly indifferent in idea but usually notice it as separate from their daily requirements.

This imbalance has guided some experts to request for experiential study plans, where inquiry topics appear from study area issues preferably than life applied from outside (Breen 2001, 158). In such patterns the aim is not just to trial theories but also to create customized resolutions.

Practitioner Perspectives on Research Engagement

Scholars' opinions deliver useful realization into the academic inquiry divide. Research reveal that during many instructors convey critique about applicable value of intellectual study, they are however available to research data exercise when results are displayed in understandable and suitable methods (Borg 2013, 106).

In a research of linguistics scholars, Tavakoli and Howard (2012) established that instructors respected study when it provided specific teaching methods or verified

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 11 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

their present approaches. But they were unresponsive when study was rigid, showing personally as an expert that overruled their practical information. This shows a wider movement approaching realizing scholars as information creators, not only users.

Teaching training courses that combine scholar guided research have been revealed to support more enduring study interaction. Such as, Wallace (1998) supports a "critical reflection" of scholar learning, where educators carefully involve with inquiry during also analyzing on their methods. This dual focus improves their skilled image and position study as role of their regular improvement instead of as an outside requirement.

Case Studies of Action Research in Bridging the Gap

Process study exists broadly recorded as successful methods of bridging the difference. Instance research from different learning situations emphasizes how collective research supports both experts improvement theoretical impact.

In Burns' (2010) research of linguistics scholars in Australia, instructors involve in stages of initiative study to handle problems like poor learner involvement and program modification. The consequences proved not just progress in study area results but furthermore an enhanced feeling of expert control between scholars. Significantly, the results impacted to wider SLA discussions on student involvement, showing the double profit of AR.

A further example emerges from Banegas (2012), who highlighted on a collective applied research study including scholars in Argentina. Instructors collaborated with experts to modify collaborative speech educational approaches to specific social and formal barriers. The study produced findings that expanded universal conversations on collaborative teaching methods delivering prompt profits to team members.

This example research show that AR is not clearly an expert progress instruments but an information creation framework that principles professional skill together with theoretical idea. It hence provides a useful structure for rethinking the connection among applied linguists and speech experts.

Summary of Literature Gaps

The studies show three ongoing deficits:

Access_ scholars usually can't utilize or analyze theoretical study.

Relevance_ study goals could not match with study area issues.

Agency_ scholars are too usually placed as receptive users instead of engaged collaborators.

While initiative study has revealed potential in tackling these disparities, there is yet restricted broad comprehensive experimental findings on how such collectives work throughout different academic structures. Moreover, significant of the study emphasizes on English speech scholars, neglecting further applied linguistics areas (for example, interpretation, lingual learning, or discourse framework) overlooked.

Methodology

This research utilizes a collaborative action research (CAR) structure, picked for its role to connect the gap among applied linguists and speech experts. Different from conventional inquiry plans that different the functions of academic and collaborator,

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 11 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

CAR highlights cooperation, analysis, and cyclical stages of research (Kemmis and Mc Taggart 2005, 567).

The plan implements Lewin's (1946) circular structure of organization noticing implementing and review, modified to involve collaborative engagement of applied linguists and professionals at all stages. This secure that study inquiries are shared, actions are situation ally useful, and effects support both theoretical and applicable aims.

The facilitating study inquiries are:

How can collective collaborative inquiry bridge the investigative method divide in applied linguistics?

What function do applied linguists and professional engage in the collaborative development of information?

What are the visible effects of CAR on educational methods and expert profile?

Participants and Context

The respondents involve two sets:

Applied Linguists __ Higher education scholars' expertise in foreign language development, language study, and speech education Their function is to offer conceptual viewpoints, systematic accuracy, and academic communication.

Language Practitioners __ English speech scholars operating in higher school and university level instruction, symbolizing varied educational settings (city based vs. village based, affluent vs. poor funded). Their function is to add educational observations and apply strategies.

Enrollment was optional, with individuals picked dependent on their stated involvement in connecting the investigative method gap. The collective study took part over an annual cycle, including regular seminars, study area watching, and digital conversations.

The setting represents the practical barriers of speech learning: big group volumes, varied student profiles, and structural barriers like normalized evaluation. This difference secures that results include both specific importance and wider relevance.

Data collection methods

Data collection employed a multi-method approach to captures both the process and outcomes.

Artifacts and Teaching materials: Workshop goals instructions material, and evaluation duties process throughout task were assembled to examine the applicable results of teamwork.

Reflective journal: professors and investigators managed records detailing their histories, obstacles, and developing outlooks. These records offered as an important reference of qualitative information on specialist personality evaluation.

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 11 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

Workshops and Focus Groups: Monthly meetings presented setting for combined organized and introspection. Documents were assembled for discourse analysis, concentrating on how contributors discussed meaning and knowledge.

Surveys and Questionnaires: Pre-and post-project surveys evaluated contributors' outlooks toward investigation and procedures, offering quantitative understandings into observes shifts.

Classroom Observations: Both linguists and professors noticed workshops, records transition in educational methods and trainer involvement. Examination comments were confirmed with equal analysis.

This cross-validation of information secure performance and records the complex environment of the teamwork.

Procedures and cycles of inquiry

There are three cycles of investigation used in this research

Cycle 1- Identifying Issues: Members jointly discovered problems in Education including experiential learning, difficulties, struggling student activities and problems. Linguists launched related exploration while lecturers customized the problems.

Cycle 2- Designing and Implementing Intervention: collectively developed intervention were implemented in Education room. For instance, where professors experiment with equal comments methods in a practical setting in SLA research while linguist observed loyalty and accommodation

Cycle 3- Reflecting and Revising: Results were analyzed in training sessions with contestants updating methods and capturing observations. This analysis well informed both instant training room adaptations and wider conceptual outcome every sequence highlighted dialogue and negotiation, guaranteeing that conceptual information was adjusted to effective truth and in reverse.

Ethical considerations

Moral instructions were observed to secure contestant power and guarantee sincerity.

Confidentiality: Information (including classroom, survey and journal observations) were confidential assumed names managed for professors and organizations.

Informed consent: Every contestant approved agreement sheets accepting the team work universe of task and the planned sharing of results.

Reciprocity: The assignment highlighted join profits professor secured qualified progress during employed linguists got wealthy experiential information for analysis.

Reflexivity: Investigators involved continuous self-awareness, accepting authority relationship and struggling to support balance of opinions.

These thoughtfulness match with the moral ethics summarized in TESOL

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 11 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

investigation rules (TESOL International Association 2010).

Data analysis
Combining qualitative and quantitative methods in data analysis:

Thematic Analysis: Training session records, document, and attention team conversation evaluated through experience determines concepts for example "transacting skill" "qualified selfhood transaction" and "situational adjustment of concepts**"** (BraunandClarke2006**).**

Quantitative analysis: evaluation result examined using informative data to observe updates in perspectives about investigation exercise.

Discourse analysis: Highlighted records were evaluated for social structure that exposed how contestants jointly created information.

Artifact analysis: Instruction data were designed for documentation of investigation-knowledge performance and creative changes.
Through combining many structures of evaluation the investigation focused to record each the depth of team work skills and the breadth of results.

Findings and analysis
Patterns of collaboration:
The evaluation of training session records and thoughtful accounts exposed that partnership among applied linguists and professionals was not a flawless method originally but developed through the use of discussion. In the beginning steps, professors generally stated distrust relating to the instant relation of theoretical principles. For instance, one professor recoded in a thoughtful notebook: "I don't see how discussing SLA theories helps me manage 40 students in one class."
Meanwhile, as periods of investigation improved, designs of mutual recognition of expertise appeared. Linguists started to cherish the implied information professors dominated relating to education room truths, during professors confessed the worth of conceptual structures in shaping and managing issues. This echoes with Borg's (2010) statement that professor information and investigator information different but balancing.
Eventually, the conversation changed from hierarchical exchanges(with linguists as "experts") to dialogic interactions**,** in which members jointly created results. This teamwork oriented discussion sequences highlights the possibility of steps investigators to empower information development.

Impact on teaching practices:
One of the most transparent results of the plan was the perceptible transformation in educating techniques. Professors presented methods for peer feedback**,** task-based instruction**,** and reflective learning journals**,** which were jointly created through training sessions.
Education room reflection recorded innovation in student participation and learner

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 11 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

autonomy**.** for example, in education room where equal comments were executed, engagement ratios raised with 25% as calculated through cycle of student-driven involvements. Professors assigned this transition to the specific foundation of framework in SLA investigation, which assisted them explain educational analysis to executive and learners.

Professors additionally stated a transition in favor of more reflective orientation**.** Record suggested that they become more likely to inquiry their techniques, request why convincing framework, and sketch bonds to investigation literature. This assists Wallace's (1998) declare that thoughtful exercise is core to specialist improvement.

Impact on Applied Linguists' Research Practices:

The scheme also affected the applied linguists included. In the beginning several contacted the teamwork with pre-defined investigation queries. On the other hand, through conversation as well as professionals, they adapted their attention in favor of context-sensitive issues. For example, educational room administration in huge teams or the effect of specified evaluation teaching methods.

This conducted to a readjustment of investigation schedules from conceptual verification to practice-informed inquiry**.** For example, one linguist initially fascinated in collaborative research started examining how task-based principles could be adapted for overcrowded classroom **,** a theme that might not have appeared without professional information.

The thoughtful records of applied linguists additionally point out raised knowledge of the ethical dimensions of information output. They identified the enhances the dominating theoretical structures without interpretation of the established truths of instruction. For example, understanding reflection Johnson's (2009) appeal larger environmental truths in SLA investigation.

Evidence of Professional Identity Development:

A cyclical concept in professor records and attention team conversations was the feeling of professional empowerment promoted by partnership. Professors frequently defined themselves as "co-investigators" instead of inactive executors of outer information. This change in identification reflection sings Burn's, (2010) insight that step investigation improves professor institution.

Applied linguists, too, skilled specialist identification transition. They started to observes themselves not only as originators of theoretical information but as organizers of foundational shift. The conversational environment of the proposal unclear classic limits, developing what Wenger (1998) would explain as a community of practice _____a collaborative space where information is jointly developed.

This identification improvement has extended outcomes. Professors become additionally self-sure in fascinating with investigation literature, while linguists enhance a more delicate perception of teaching.

Challenges and tensions:

Despite benefits, challenges surfaced:

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 11 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

Dissemination Dilemmas:
During applied linguists focused on issuing in peer-scholarly professors, journals, conveyed worries that the judgments should also be distributed in users-friendly layouts. This stress shows combined views of applied linguistics investigations.

Time constraints:
Professors discover challenging to stability education room commitments with investigation members. Many indicates that organizational help (For example, educational capacity, decrease) would be essential for durability.

Power Dynamics:
In the beginning steps, linguists were frequently noticed as power data during this movement enhanced over time, it periodically reappeared specially in systematic conversations where theoretical knowledge influenced.
These obstacles spotlight the organizational challenges that continuous even in teamwork designs without organizational improvement _____ For example identification of professor investigation in specialist assessment structures ___the durability of such strategies carry on ambiguous.

Discussion:
Interpreting the findings:
The outcomes verify that the research-practice gap in applied linguistsis not just item of unbiased but appears from structured problems of accessibility, relevance, and professional identity. Collaborative action research (CAR) presented possibility in dealing with these problems through making collaborative period procedure of investigation.
The witnessed change in both professors and linguists verify Widdowson's (2001) discussion that investigation should be observed as a reference instead of instructions. Professor used hypothesis not as a solid structure but as a springboard for innovation, adjusting SLA theories to their own education rooms. Simultaneously, linguists approved exercise reform their investigation query, consequently in larger environmental credibility (Johnson 2009), this common adjustment show the hybrid nature of knowledge designed by Breen (2001), where hypothesis and exercise constantly communicate with each other.

Implication for Applied Linguistics:
The outcomes highlight that applied linguistics essential to shift further a top-down dissemination model in favor of a co-construction model of information. Conventionally, applied linguists have been situated as originators of hypothesis during professors have been launched as specialist. This division is continuously unsustainable in light of the facts that professional information enhances conceptual discussion. By accepting teamwork steps investigation, applied linguistics can rearrange independency as the area that not only research speech happenings but also mediates between theory and practice. This change enhances the training's applied alignment and improves its social significance. It additionally participates to continuous discussion enhancing the role of applied linguistics in managing

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 11 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

worldwide obstacles for example, trilingual information, immigration, and equity in language learning.

Implication for language practitioners:

For language professionals, the proposal illustrated that investigation is not a summary unavailable action but a procedure that can immediately improve specialist exercise. Professors communicated enhancement in education room partnership and beginner independence, results that appeared from combining framework exercise.

More crucially, partnership developed a change in professional identity. Professors started to observe themselves as researchers in their own right, repeating Burn's (2010) claim that steps investigation authorizes professors by confirming their practical information. This identification change has permanent-significance for specialist enhancement as it fosters contemplative data-driven professions who are certain in sharing academic discussion.

Bridging Roles and Identities;

The teamwork stops investigation patterns also fuzzy the limits between applied linguistics and professional instead of functioning as different societies with different function, the plan promoted a community of practice (Wenger 19980), where members co-collaborated answer to common issues. This relationship offers that the investigation-exercise space may be fever about "translation" of discoveries and additionally about structural segregation of societies. When these societies engage on same foundation, the distribute shrinks naturally. The crucial to make gaps for maintained conversation joint acknowledgment and combined issue-solving.

Challenges and Limitations:

In spite of the hopeful results, obstacles stay. The problems of time constraints is especially important. Professors cannot be predicted to maintain investigation involvement without organizational help for example, decrease training lots of official acknowledgment of their involvement (Borg 2013). Correspondingly authority interaction demand ongoing observing to stop the renewal of ranked association.

The pressure over distribution structures emphasizes another shortcoming. While linguists focus on peer-evaluated print, professors need applied-oriented performance that immediately notify their work. Unless investigation distribution is dual-purpose, offering both viewers, the danger of isolation continuous.

These obstacles offer that while CAR is a encouraging structure, it cannot by alone dismember organizational challenges. Wider organized differences in theoretical and professor learning essential for renewal effects.

Implication for Future Research:

Suggest multiple avenue foe further investigation.

Institutional change:

Future investigation should analyze how organizational rules can motivate professor-scholar teamwork. This comprise inquiries of task load, acknowledgment and sponsorship.

Annual Methodological Archive Research Review
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about
Volume 3, Issue 11 (2025)

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189
http://amresearchreview.com/index.php/Journal/about

Scaling up: High-level research throughout several situations are required to evaluation the durability of CAR as a connecting pattern. Most present research, featuring this one, stay things in rang.

Digital platforms for collaboration:
Online equipment and digital societies of exercise may provide adaptable answers for defeating regional and temporal limitations in teamwork investigation.

Beyond language teaching:
Throughout of the literature concentrated on English language teaching, future investigation should analyze CAR in other applied linguistics realms for example, dual language, interpretation research, and vocabulary rules.

Conclusion
This investigation sets out to locate the determined research-practice gap in applied linguistics through the executing a collaborative action research (CAR) framework. By the organized engagement of both applied linguistics and speech specialist, the task showed that connected this share is not only feasible but also extremely effective both societies.
The outcomes emphasized three crucial understandings. First, teamwork must be dialogic rather than hierarchical: applied linguistics and specialist should jointly created information. Not live in creator-user associations. Second, connecting the space need structural support, such as organizational acknowledgment of professors' investigation offering and wider distribution exercise that communicate to many viewers. Third, CAR assists identity transformation: professors become investigation, and investigators become societies in graze, encouraging a society of exercise that exceed conventional limitations.
However, obstacles persist __especially time limitations, authority interaction, and distribution pressure the overall influence of CAR is potential. By implanting loops thoughtfulness steps and hypothesis structure, CAR matches with the very ethos of applied linguistics: to utilize hypothesis exercise and evenly, to let exercise improve hypothesis.
In conclusion, connecting the research -practice gap should not be observed as a performance of interpretation but as a procedure of knowledge co-construction. Applied linguistics and specialists are not in isolated world to be associated but praising voices in a common effort. Collaborative action research gives a resilient, adjustable and revolutionary foundation for understanding this sight securing that applied linguistics persist collectively related and attentive to the truths of speech learning and instruction in the 21st century.

Work Cited:
Borg, Simon. Teacher Research in Language Teaching: A Critical Analysis. Cambridge university Press, 2013.
Breen, Michael P. "Navigating the Discourse: On what is Learned in the Language Classroom." Learner Contributions to Language Learning: New Direction in Research, edited by Michael P. Breen Pearson Education, 2001, PP.

Online ISSN 3007-3197
Print ISSN 3007-3189

113__140.

Burns, Anne, andJackC. Richards, editors. The Cambridge Guide to Research in Language Teaching and Learning. Cambridge University Press, 2012.

Edge, Julian.Continuing Cooperative Development: A discourse Framework for Individual as Colleagues. University of Michigan Press, 2002.

Ellis, Road. The Study of Second Language Acquisition. 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, 2008.

Freedman, Donald. Educating Second Language Teachers. Oxford University Press, 2016.

Kemmis, Stephen, and Robin Mc Taggart. The Action Research Planner. 3rd ed., Deakin University Press, 1988.

Lantolf, James p., and Matthew E. Poehner. Sociocultural Theory and the pedagogical Imperative in L2 Education. Routledge, 2014.

McNiff, Jean. Action Research: Principles and Practice. 3rded., Routledge, 2013.

Mitchell, Rosamond, and Florence Myles. Second Language Learning Theories. 3rd ed., Routledge, 2013.

Nunan, David. Research Methods in Language Learning. Cambridge University Press, 1992.

Richards, Jack C., and Thomas S. C. Farrell. Practice Teaching: A Reflective Approach. Cambridge University Press, 2011.

Stenhouse, Lawrence. An Introduction to Curriculum Research and Development. Heinemann, 1975.

Van Lier, Leo. Interaction in the Language Curriculum: Awareness, Autonomy and Authentically. Longman, 1996.

Wallace, Michael j. Action Research for Language Teachers. Cambridge University Press, 1998.

Zhao, Yong. What Works May Hurt: Side Effects in Education. Teachers College Press, 2018.